

PATENT APPLICATION Docket No. 4591-321 Client No. IC12124-US

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

in re application of:	Young-Ok Kim and Soon-Byung Park		
Serial No.:	10/630,486	Examiner:	Cao, Phat X

Conf. No.: 9673

Filed: July 29, 2003 Art Unit: 2814

For: SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE FOR APPLYING WELL BIAS

AND METHOD OF FABRICATING THE SAME

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced application are the following:

Applicant's comments on examiners statement of reasons for allowance.

Publication and Issue Fee
In connection with issuance of a patent:
Supplemental Declaration
PTO Form 85B
PTO Form 2038 authorizing credit card payment of \$1,630.00, Issue fee (\$1330.00) and publication fee (\$300.00) is enclosed.

Return Postcard
Any deficiency or overpayment should be charged or credited to deposit account number 13-1703.

Customer No. 20575

Respectfully submitted,

MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.

Todd J. Iverson Reg. No. 53,057

MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C. 1030 SW Morrison Street Portland, OR 97205 503-222-3613

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Date: August 24, 2004

Angie C. Farr

PATENT APPLICATION
Docket No. 4591-321
Client No. IC12124-US

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Young-Ok Kim and Soon-Byung Park

Serial No. 10/630,486 Examiner: Cao, Phat X

Filed: July 29, 2003 Art Unit: 2814

For: SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE FOR APPLYING WELL BIAS

AND METHOD OF FABRICATING THE SAME

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Claims 1-15 have been allowed in this case. In discussing the allowable subject matter of these claims, the Examiner has indicated that the prior art fails to teach some of the features recited in claims 1 and 7. To the extent that these comments regarding allowable subject matter agree with the language of the individual allowed claims, the applicants agree with the Examiner.

The applicants would like to note that it is a well known tenet of patent law that patent claims are read as a whole, rather than phrase by phrase or element by element. Therefore, the scope of the allowed claims is not necessarily limited to only the claim features that the Examiner highlighted, but rather, to the language of the claims themselves, in their entirety.

Finally, the applicants note that there are several independent claims allowed in this case, as well as various dependent claims that depend directly or indirectly from the allowed independent claims. Each of these allowed claims includes particular features and particular claim language, and therefore each has a scope different from the others allowed in this case.

Customer No. 20575

Respectfully submitted,

MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.

Todd J. Iverson
Reg. No. 53,057

MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C. 1030 SW Morrison Street

Portland, OR 97205 503-222-3613

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Date: August 24, 2004

ngie C. Farr